

ALAN WATT ON
AMERICAN AWAKENING
June 22, 2007

WWW.CUTTINGTHROUGHTHEMATRIX.COM

www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Tim: Good afternoon America. How are you doing everybody? This is Tim Wingate sitting in for the traveling Michael Herzog, who will be back on Monday on the American Awakening here on the Republic Broadcasting Network. What a wonderful day. It's a great day for freedom, great day to move forward. I was talking with a number of people over the last few hours and we were commenting about all the negativity. Everyone says how bad it is and it's getting worse. No-one's trying to close their eyes to that kind of thing, but the fact of the matter is if you just give in to all that stuff, you're gonna lose. If you focus on the negative and the bad that's happening, it could very well happen. Let's focus on some solutions. Let's deal with ideas and concepts to make a better world, to make a freer America, to counteract these things. Yeah, people have guns and numbers and so-called legal authority, but there's actually more of us then there are of them. The trick is who motivates the mass of people - psychologists, psychiatrists, people that are in the human development fields, the positive seminars, and anyone who deals with why people do what they do.

We know that the rough estimate is that 70% of people are "sheeple". Now come on, you know that honestly. Look at the people around you. You know how it is. You try to talk to them about freedom and they don't think anyone can do anything about it. There are things we can do. We're going to talk about some of the solutions to the problems and some of the ideas that we could do. First of all, you in your own mind have to develop a positive attitude, a can-do attitude. We're going to try to help you do that today - ideas, concepts, tools that one can use to liberate your mind, to have a freedom mindset. That's exactly what we need to have, a freedom mindset. One of the ways to do that is to feed your mind with good things, with positive things. So often in the freedom movement, we get caught up listening to all the problems. We've got so many issues that we can always point to this. There's always going to be negativity around us. The battle is in your mind and the battle is to have a positive attitude. To do that, we have to have realistic tools. Tools to be able to move forward and have that motivation inside of ourselves. The scripture says that you're not supposed to have a mind of fear, but you're supposed to have a strong mind.

We have our special guest Alan Watt today - Alan, are you with us?

Alan: I am. Yes.

Tim: Excellent, I am so happy that you're here.

Alan: I'm glad to be on.

Tim: You and I were talking a little bit earlier, as we were talking about the

program and ideas and different things, and you had brought up something I had not known. We have a broad spectrum of individuals listening here who know that there are certain issues are starting to take off. Obviously 9/11 and the inconsistencies with that whole story, things you and I have talked about already – about the Bilderbergers and the elitists at the top, the globalists, and one of the other things is this issue of chemtrails. Something is going on way up above the commercial airline route that planes are doing something, they're spraying something and there've been all kinds of reports here. You told me, if I heard you right, that in Europe they're already admitting that they're doing this?

Alan: Yes, just in the last week or so. It had to come to this because there've been too many people talking out about it, that they had to eventually address it. Some of the governments have come out and admitted – see, now it's time, now that we have heard the Kyoto warnings and global climate change, to use it as an excuse that they're actually trying to save us all from extensive global warming. However, they're a bit late and a bit of a liar, too, because they were spraying back in the 90's pretty consistently before we heard about the global warming and all the terror that's been drummed up since.

Governments do not move quickly, as you know, and bureaucracies certainly don't. It takes many years of planning something of this scale to get it into operation. It takes years of making the chemicals that they're spraying on a world basis. I get photographs from all over the world. This is going on everywhere. This has been in the manufacture for probably 20 or 30 years beforehand to get us to this stage today. Long before global warming became the con-game issue that it has.

What they're doing, I think, is to drug the populace as they're taking them through the greatest changes in history, entering the new system. The day after 9/11, on national television, Rumsfeld came on and he was asked what the government was going to do if there was another great catastrophe in another city and what they would do about the panic situation. He said we have aerosolized Prozac and Valium compounds ready to go and we can deluge whole cities with this stuff. That's when it hit me that my God, they've been doing it before this. They were doing it since the late 90's in Canada, for instance, on a daily basis.

Tim: You know, Alan, people who have been fighting fluoridation of the water have been contending that this side product of making aluminum, the sodium fluoride that they needed to get rid of, that is actually functions in some capacity as...

Alan: It brings your brain structure down, your IQ drops; it makes you more pliable to government authority. They knew that in the late 1800's and the early 1900's with the fluoride. Teller, who is the guy who came up with the H-Bomb, wrote whole treaties about this particular 'spraying of the air syndrome' that they thought about doing in the 50's. He said if we can deluge the air with metal particles, we can use electromagnetic frequency to create a circuit across the whole atmosphere, which would alter the weather, and the good side effect is that it could make people compliant by affecting their moods. They've been doing it, you see. They've actually been doing it.

Tim: This is amazing. This is science fiction. I'm a big science fiction fan.

Alan: I don't go into science fiction—I go into reality.

Tim: I understand that, but science fiction is one of the ways of telling a story that deals with the present, but you put it into the future and it makes it a little more palatable and entertaining for some people.

Alan: What it is, it's called predictive programming. All the biggest science fiction writers that have, and do, exist are paid by the future society to write stories around these things to get us ready, subconsciously, to accept them when they actually happen.

Tim: Maybe that's true for some people. Maybe that's true for the 70% of "sheeple" out there. The other 30% of us are more independently minded to varying degrees. I've often seen science fiction as predictive but not necessarily something that had to occur - more as possibly a warning of what could happen if things didn't change. The point I was going to bring up is that Robert Heinlein wrote a book back in the late 40's, it was called the Sixth Column. In it, the United States has been overrun by Chinese, and the remaining Americans developed a technology that was using electronic beams that could pacify or actually put to sleep people of different races. Of course, the Americans regularly used it on the Asians who had invaded the country. When we started talking about these EMP, and the pulse machines, and different things that are out there - HAARP that's up in Canada, and these particles in the atmosphere that could create an antennae effect or reflection, much like short wave bounces off the ionosphere, it can travel for hundreds of miles.

Alan: It can be focused on the ionosphere, and because they have 54 of them worldwide, they can put them up in convergence and aim them over entire continents.

Tim: We know that from even the Republican Convention that occurred back in New York that they already, we can see the pictures of the machines that they had set up ready to focus on the crowd to either induce vomiting or something.

Alan: That's what they found 70-odd years ago, with early experimentation with electromagnetic frequency pulse waves and standing waves—was that the secondary signal attached to it could actually induce thoughts into a person's skull and the easiest thing to do was to alter the emotion. It can either make them very aggressive or passive. That was very easy to do by altering the frequency just a little bit. They have been doing it. They've admitted they've experimented on the people of Maine back in the 70's.

Every country that signed a treaty, it was called an Open Sky treaty - there were two treaties - one was for commercial aircraft, that's the one for military aircraft that's important, and all the signatories to that treaty have been getting sprayed heavily since 1998, and some beforehand. What they've been doing, you see them making the clouds in the sky. Anyone that bothers to look up can see them making the clouds in the sky, the criss-crossing of the trails, which spread and spread. They don't go away like the old ones used to when it was just water or vapor. This is not just water or vapor. It's a polymer substance, which was developed by the military as far back as the 1950's, which can carry other substances along with it.

They can certainly alter the weather with it, but they can also use drugs on the populous. On certain days and certain colors of spray they use, from the white to the blue, the blue sprays are being used in conjunction – you find everyone in the summer are almost drugged. You also find them getting bouts of irritability. Everyone you meet is irritable when the stuff starts to wear off, just as you would if you had a high dose of a tranquilizer and it's coming out of your bloodstream. You're getting withdrawal symptoms.

This is happening all over the place. Zbigniew Brzezinski talked about this coming. He says forms and techniques of electronic warfare used on the public, which they'll be completely oblivious of - and that was in his book called *Between Two Ages* and the chapter is called the Technetronic Era - this technetronic warfare which they're using on the peoples of the world as they change the whole new system into a brand new system. It makes perfect sense from a military strategy standpoint.

Tim: That brings up something else that you and I had talked about, which is that they haven't been hiding their plans from us whatsoever, and the fact that Zbigniew had put this in his book and that he also was the front man for the Trilateral Commission. I mentioned that if you really wanted to know what's going on, at least from the position of the Council of Foreign Relations, you need to read *Foreign Affairs* magazine.

Alan: This all came out in Britain from Cecil Rhodes and the Lord Alfred Milner group, which joined together. They were front groups and unofficial groups of the British Crown. They would go into countries and get little wars started, and Britain couldn't really be accused of starting a war. The Crown would say, "If they're private organizations, they have nothing to do with us." However, once these little groups had their wars agitated and people like the Boers attacking them in South Africa, then they'd say, "we have to send in the troops to defend the English." This is an old strategy. From that they created the American branch. Any non-British branch is called a Council on Foreign Relations; and they set theirs up in the United States. The other group which is designed to bring a three-part world, three trading blocks—a united Europe, Pacific Rim united block, and a united Americas—was called the Trilateral Group, which belongs to the same CFR. It was a step higher on the rung. They also have a Council on Pacific Relations that deal with the Far East. They've been working on that since 1930 onwards. This whole present situation has been worked on 70-odd years ago. Carl Marx mentioned it in the 1800's, the same three trading blocks under a world power, a world government. It's the same agenda, hasn't altered.

Tim: Then we know that they're there. To be informed is to be forearmed. What are some of the positive things that we can do?

Alan: First of all, you cannot be positive until you know what's really happened in the past. If you're just positive, you may as well join the New Agers that get taught positive thinking and just be happy and don't look back at that express train coming up behind you, which is a great form of disarming the mentalities of the people, that's why they created the New Age. You have to understand how we got here and you have to understand your histories to see why you got here. It's only then that you get any peace of mind. When you've gone through all of it, you've broken through all the levels of the Matrix, then you understand that you

have personal peace of mind. Understanding clarifies an awful lot. It takes fear away. It takes the fear of the unknown away because now you know. That's so important. You also have to go back to all of the documentation written around the era of revolutions.

Tim: Ok, understand what's going on. This is what you deal with quite a bit at your website cuttingthroughthematrix.com. You have materials, you have books, you have seminars, CDs, these kinds of things.

I'm not talking about the New-Agey, feel good, positive thinking type stuff. I'm really talking about things that we can do to stop this, to slow this down. I'm willing to completely admit that it's taken generations to get us into this situation and it may take us generations to get us out of this situation. I came across this quote, Alan, and honestly I do not know who said it, it says this "the world can only be turned right side up by the conscious collective activity of those who construct a theory of why it is upside down. Spontaneous rebellion alone is not sufficient. Without adequate, advanced preparation, the old world will simply reappear after any rebellion, imbedded as it is in the psyches of the fabled people. An authentic revolution can only occur if there is a coherent and practical mass movement of self-conscious individuals, in which all of the mystifications of the past are being consciously swept away."

Alan: That's true, too, because I'm not here to save our system. The system is unsaveable. It never was my system. It wasn't yours either, you were just born into it. It was created by the same people or their predecessors, generally in the same family lines, who are moving on to the next phase of their system. This was all that's happened down through history. People fight when the system they've been born into is suddenly changing. It never dawns on them that it wasn't natural to begin with. They're trying to save something which wasn't theirs; it was already lost. We can't go on in this system, which is not a humane system to begin with. A system that says, "I'm ok, Jack, tough luck on you" is not a humane system. It's a totalitarian system already and it has been for quite some time. I'd grown up in a socialist country, that was Britain, and literally, you are a number from the beginning. You were followed down through your life by the authorities by that number. Everything you had to do at any major, you had to get government permission and approval or licensing.

It's just the same here now. Just because they haven't come to your door doesn't mean they don't do it to other people. That's been like that for a long time now. The system itself is corrupt from top to bottom and it cannot stand.

Tim: Part of what we need to do is understand the past, understand how we got here, but also look at what can we do to change? Some of us are here already. We've been listening, we're involved, we know what the past is, we know what they've done to us, we know about the numbering...

Alan: The answer is very simple. That is: for the first time for the people themselves to debate what is the purpose of life in the first place? If you can't get that answer, you get nowhere. The rest of it is just the blind leading the blind because we've never had that debate. We take it for granted that we're here, one way or another, to serve the system or to benefit from the system personally. That's as far as most people ever think. At one time, they knew this during the

Revolutionary Wars. All of this stuff was debated. That's why government was supposed to be set up in the "great experiment" of the US - to see if the public could govern themselves with the least possible amount of government over the top of them. They knew darn well that if the people couldn't do it and if the people did not keep control of the government over the government, the government would gradually take control over them. That happened pretty quickly. The government has become the master. It was very quick.

Jefferson wrote lots about it and so did the other ones. They were well aware that hereditary families would create the dynasties in politics, in finance, and then direct the course of the country. That's happened.

Tim: You know, it's interesting that you talk about the structure of our system. Whether it's Canada's system, whether it's Mexico's system, whether it's the United States' system, government by itself, once at a certain point, becomes institutionalized. It's like it switches roles. Instead of being created to serve the people, now we find people are being told they have to serve the needs of the government. That's not the way it's supposed to be.

Alan: It's become the master. It's an old story that when people fear their government, then you're overturning. That's what's happened here. That's why the same old techniques used in Europe over many centuries are now used here, because America has been joined at the hip with London for many, many years.

Tim: There are the theorists who do say that America never really did break away. They broke away politically but not really economically, because the same money financiers financed America. Didn't America even come back to Britain and borrow money to maintain its existence for a while?

Alan: It's the same old story. Money is the root of all evil. The love of money is a problem. Money is the first con-game ever invented in history, along with the priest hoods. That's why you have coin: it's the same word as Cohen, which is priest. It was the first con-game that came between barter where people decided what they wished to exchange for what item they would exchange it. There have been ongoing wars. The Phoenicians took the money system all over the world in the ancient times; and it's allowed privileged families to rise up above the rest who understand this game. It's thousands of years old. It doesn't matter what money is. Money itself is the con. That's our problem. We've never debated what the purpose of life is. Is it simply to accumulate this strange thing called wealth or are we here to serve each other? That is the dilemma, the paradox. If we go the way of the New World Order, we will be a bunch of robots serving them. The time is running out for everyone, including the youth. We are the last generation with the knowledge of the histories to stop this, because the youth don't have it. Later on, people will be chipped like everyone else and when that happens, you will no longer be able to even think coherently as an individual.

Tim: Ok, I can see that possibility. You were talking about, back to Jefferson, and the ideas, the American Experiment and self-governance. We had Edwin Vierra on yesterday talking about Homeland Security and I love his idea and concept, there's only one weak point in that. Once again, it is getting enough people to go along with it, to change their minds and stand up and pledge their life in the cause of liberty and freedom. I've tried to bring this out to people as I have talked

around the country. I wrote the idea of an American shibboleth. A shibboleth is a word or an idea, a concept that causes a division. It makes you have to make a choice between something. The ancient story goes back to Judges, chapter 12, where you had two tribes of ancient Israel that were battling an enemy and one of the tribes called the other tribe and asked them to come and help. The tribe said no, and then the tribe that fought won the battle, got all the possessions and the tribe who sat it out wanted their share. The tribe who won said, no you didn't participate in the battle, you're not going to get your share. The tribe who didn't do anything decides to invade their cousins and try to take some of the booty. There was a battle and they got their butts whipped. As they were trying to escape back across the river to their own territory, sentries were posted by the tribe that had been attacked and they had a simple word and that was "shibboleth" that they had to say. It means nothing more than a torrent of water. The word itself doesn't mean anything; it's the pronunciation of the word. That "sh" sound was not in the dialect or the language of the tribe that had attacked them. That way they knew they were not part of the proper tribe and they could not speak it and it became a password. Through the centuries, it is a term that has come to mean something that makes a division.

I maintain that the five premises that are articulated in the Declaration of Independence is an American Shibboleth. Either you believe in these or you don't. How they're flushed out is just a question of form. It's not the substance. This is the substance of our belief; it should be the substance of our mindset. I'll just innumerate them for you very quickly:

1. The positive laws of any government are always subject and subordinate to the natural law, the laws of nature, and nature's god.
2. That all men equally entitled to certain unalienable rights whether or not it is convenient to public officials or special interest groups, domestic or foreign.
3. That governments are instituted among men deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed, and therefore can never claim and unjust powers whatsoever or impose any powers from the top down.
4. Whenever any form of government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the right of the people to alter and abolish it and institute new government, the people being always morally, politically, and legally superior to any form of government.
5. When a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same object, shows the design to reduce the people under absolute despotism, it is their duty to throw off such government and to provide new guards for their future security. For the fulfillment of which right and duty, the people must be organized, armed and disciplined in a well-regulated militia. The second amendment declares to be necessary for the security of a free state.

What say you, Alan Watt?

Alan: That was fine for the time. Jefferson himself said that anything that's on paper is worth nothing unless the people believe it in their heart and actually work

it into existence. That's the problem. People eventually deify leaders or they deify the Constitutional papers. They deify the object, and say, with the bible, "what's written is there," and that said, "leave it there," instead of going onwards with the information from there. If you don't live what you believe, you think it's all been done for me, well guess what? You've been tricked. If you believe that and allow others to do it all for you, then you'll find out it's the bad guys and they have already taken over.

They were well aware of that too, during the Revolution, that the public would have to believe in it generation after generation. Everyone would have to know it. As you know, it was taken out of the schools long ago. It was also worked on almost like a Hollywood fictional type of thing, since prior to the 1950's, they altered an awful lot of stuff. They started to take out information from history from the classes. Now you have a really dumbed-down population that really gets their reality from television and dramas rather from history. That's the problem. Anything that's on paper can only be of value if the people actually live it in their daily lives.

Tim: How do we get people to attach themselves and become one with the substance and not with the form?

Alan: You'll never get the masses. As we know, that never happens in history. It's always the few who are willing to go into the depths and go beyond the world they understand to think deeper, more profound things of nature and what human kind is. Beyond the selfish motives, the higher aspect - some people call it the spiritual aspect - it's going beyond all of those things; that's when you start to realize that the world you're now in is completely corrupt and it was corrupt at its foundation because there was the money system.

Tim: You're right, there are a lot of different reasons, but there is the minority. We can't necessarily totally convince the majority, that's true; but we can influence them. We can get them to go a certain direction for a while. It's like what Sam Adams said; all it takes is a loud, out-there, in your face minority lighting brushfires in people's minds. We don't need to have the big numbers. We just need to have people who are willing to stand up and be an example and to lead. Too often, we sit back.

Alan: Here's a problem, too. It really is a real problem. In all ages, there's one type of human that's always risen to the top, in all peoples, and it's called the psychopathic type. The psychopath is almost a deviant creation. It used to be called that before they had the term psychopath. What you've had over centuries and centuries is selective breeding between the psychopathic leaders who do not have the qualities of compassion and empathy for others around them. They are not bonded to others around them. They are pretty ruthless, but they do climb to the top because they are ruthless. They do it just as much in big business as they do in politics or royal families or anywhere else. What we have today is also a group of the higher ones who are also scientists now, because they take the psychopathic types, put them into to big colleges, train them to be scientists in warfare departments for creating good and new viruses and bacteriums or standing wave type to eventually infect or kill the rest of the people. That's what we have to deal with. The psychopath always can sniff the way that the public are going or complaining. They tend to come out and speak on behalf of the public

and they start to lead the public. That's why in many revolutions, you'll find that people end up worse after the revolution than they were before. They can't quite figure it out. It's because the psychopaths get right in there. That happened with the communist revolution too. The psychopaths came in like droves. They didn't want to live like the ordinary people below and since the 1950's onwards they started to give themselves higher pay and increases, etc.

Tim: That doesn't happen with every situation.

Alan: It's almost all situations.

Tim: Don't you get various levels of it? I mean America's been taking some time to slide this way. Certainly there are authoritarian personalities that can rise to the top, as people get lazy, they go on auto-pilot and figure the system is set and the system will run itself and they don't keep watch.

Alan: No, the U.S. has had their share of problems since its foundation. How long was it before you had all the other wars that started? Never mind the Civil War and the many wars since. There's been one war after another and who benefits? It's no different than today or that story you read from the biblical stories. It's to do with loot and booty and corporations. Halliburton somehow has been given the authority to rebuild all over the world on behalf of the United States. Halliburton is still a private, international corporation with many of the top psychopaths who are the top shareholders. Nothing has really changed. It's about looting and booty under the guises of protecting or bringing civilization to, or bringing democracy to, or giving a religion to the pagans. It's the same old story, the same excuses. Behind it all, it's to do with looting and robbery.

Tim: I was looking at your website and I really like your official logo. He's got the all-seeing eye above the pyramid and Alan is suspended in mid-air in a karate outfit with a black belt, executing a flying kick to the all-seeing eye and knocking it off the top of the pyramid. I like that imagery, Alan.

Alan: Yeah, it's about time it was knocked off because we've had it around too long. You know on the DARPA logo, their official one that they've taken down, was the same thing. They had the pyramid with the all-seeing eye and I guess it was them at the top looking down through the light to the masses down below.

Tim: It was like a light beam coming out of the eye coming down across the whole globe.

Alan: Yes, total information network.

Tim: Good old Poindexter and his Freedom creation, as far as that goes. Alan, let's deal with some real practical stuff now. We've talked about all the negative and how bad everything is and that we need to understand where we came from. Maybe we need to understand the construct of the matrix and that is really is what's inside of the people that makes the difference. Do you offer a course in deprogramming?

Alan: I used to teach people privately, very few. Not everyone who asked because I don't believe in wasting my time with those that think money can simply buy

ultimate truth. You pick people who have already done the journey, that have done the start of the journey.

In all ages, you have the world of the dead. In the New Testament, you have the dead where *the dead bury the dead*. You can't wake the dead up, they have to try and get up themselves. That's when they try and walk. That's what that always meant. You look for those that have been asking the right questions from their own hearts. Those are the ones that you can fill in. They can go beyond the natural, beyond the just, the data of the world because they have something else in them. It's a tremendous compassion that they have for other peoples all over the world, not just their own particular group. I think the U.S. would get far more approval within their country and around the world and if they stopped bombing other countries abroad, especially the Middle East.

Tim: There's no doubt about that. There's a wonderful little booklet out on the internet that is called "The Myth of the Innocent Civilian", which is a wonderful little treatise on how none of our hands are clean because we have been allowing this, at least some have not been actually protesting this, and we've created a negative situation in the world for the reputation of the U.S.A. Recognizing that there's these bad guys at the top and they're well entrenched and they've got the money and all these other things. If we accept that money and this kind of power is not real power and is not the thing that we need to go toward, what are the techniques and tools that we use to bring liberty and freedom back to a group of people?

Alan: Again, I don't think you understand that most people never knew what liberty or freedom ever was. They see it in a tunnel, the tunnel that they were born into. They think it's the normal in their lifetime or something they've heard about in someone else's lifetime. They don't realize that freedom starts with the individual mind and letting go of indoctrinated concepts.

Tim: I get your point. Let's go then and use the analogy of The Matrix movies since that's what you adopted for your website [cuttingthroughthematrix](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.com). We have this situation, if everyone remembers in the first Matrix movie, where Neo is taken into the construct and he's walking along. This is where he sees the woman in the red dress. He's in the construct. This is where he's being instructed by Morpheus to 'look at all the people around you. Every single one of these people think they're alive, think they're free, think that this reality is true, and every single one of them can become an enemy'. Then one of them morphs into what they call the agents or variations of Mr. Smith; and he's warning him that 'you have to be careful, you have to find people that have been asking the right question and that are doing the right thing'.

This is a parallel with scriptural teaching of the Remnant. There's a wonderful American writer named Albert J. Knock. Knock wrote a wonderful little piece called "Isaiah's Job." It was a retelling of the story about how God told Isaiah 'I want you to go and tell these people that if some things don't happen - they need to repent, they need to change their ways - however, they're not going to listen to you, they're not going to pay attention to you. They're probably going to throw you into jail and they might even take your life. Isaiah said 'why should I go?' and the Lord says to him 'it's because your message is actually to the remnant. The remnant will be heartened by what you're saying. The remnant will be

strengthened by what you're saying, and by getting up there and being out there and speaking the truth – yes the masses aren't going to listen, but those with ears to hear and eyes to see will respond to the message'. That's what we need to do, isn't it Alan?

Alan: It is, however it's such an incredible education that must be given. You can't just give ultimate truths right off the bat. You've got to bring people up through levels of consciousness until when you give them certain truths, they'll understand. If you tell a person at the bottom the ultimate truth, it goes right over their head. They don't understand. You've got to prepare a person's mind to understand. That's a lot of education. That's the thing, you can't do it in an hour, two hours over radio like a "rah, rah, rah, let's save the world" type deal. It's a very deep education. Some people do have it naturally that have already gone through the process of being born, being aware, but very few. In all ages, that's how it's been.

Tim: How do we get that Alan? How do we get that education process started for those who have ears to hear and eyes to see?

Alan: They have to get in touch with one of the few who are teaching the right things and not the misleading things or the things which are to try to save the present system. We can't save something where the elite are already shifting the system under our feet.

Tim: I think you're right. I think we're under a paradigm shift. I think many of us who are sensitive to these things have sensed that this paradigm shift is occurring. I'm not talking about saving this present political system; this is just one form. See, the whole idea that I understand it is that Jesus was talking to the Pharisees and condemning them and saying that 'you're white-washed tombs, you're white-washed sepulchers, you appear to be all bright and clean and wonderful on the outside, but inside you're full of death and deadness'. The issue is that form is supposed to follow substance; and in our situation now, we have empty, dead-filled forms. We have white-washed monuments all over DC and all over this nation that are full of destruction and decay.

Alan: A lot of them are also called churches. In all ages, those who give the teachings know darn well that as soon as they're gone, in come the ones who corrupt it. The majority of the public want ritual, they want fancy shows, they want something familiar so they become dead very, very quickly; and that's always been the way.

Tim: So what? We don't care about that. We want to reach the people. Don't we want to reach the people? How to we get the message out to them?

Alan: They must also reach out of their own religion to those of others.

Tim: Let's say that we're doing that. Let's say that's done.

Alan: I good start would be to stop killing off people in the Middle East – men, women, and children.

Tim: But to do that, Alan, then we moral people, we aware, we awakened, we

spiritual, we who understand liberty and freedom, we who have touched on what you call the ultimate truth, we need to be in power to stop that happening, unless you've got some other way of doing that.

Alan: What you can also do is to demand where your tax money starts to go. No-one does that. When you acquiesce by your silence, you're acquiescing that it's going to abortion, it's going to the war machines to kill everybody else, and it's going to our own chains—because they're going to use all this technology on *us*, too. I would also suggest that if you're going to put anybody in a position of power where they can make laws over you, then you better have them – rather than Bush having American society psychologically tested – we have each one of them tested, even your local school master, for psychopathic traits.

Tim: Those are two great ideas on how we can actually start to work against the system, things that we can do to make it better. One of the things is to withhold your approval from what the government does in bombing innocent people, in hurting innocent people. In fact this goes right along in line with We the People Congress with Bob Schultz out of New York, where they are saying that based on a Continental Congress bill that was passed in 1774 that if government is not responsive to the people, then the people have a right to withhold their monies from the government. If that's what's going on, then those of us with conscience should be doing whatever we certainly can to...

Alan: Even the timid ones can still pay their taxes if they want to, but still put an objection and tell them what they object to, and they give this money under force and duress.

Tim: At the very least, they could ploy on their congress people to not vote for any new spending.

Alan: I don't think that's the way to it. It literally would take the people to literally launch the complaints to where the money is going, and they object to the fact that they're being coerced by the threat of force into paying it. Money that's going to change the culture, money that's going to technologies which will dominate them, that's where it's going. We're building and creating it, buying our own chains.

Tim: Alan, I think that really just because we lodge a protest, they go 'fine, protest all you want just keep giving us the money'. At a certain point we have to just pull back and say, that's it, no more. We know that they're getting desperate and there's a lot of talk.

Alan: This is uncovered now. The velvet glove is off. They knew they'd bring us to this stage over 60 years ago. They've been building up for the chaos that's going to come. They published their plans for the next 30 years in the Department of Defence documents from Britain. The head of NATO, every NATO country signed it. They're all preparing for massive upheavals in the very near future, because they know what they're going to bring on in this world and they know the public's reaction to it.

Tim: Ok, so then what Alan? What are we supposed to do? Lie down and submit?

Alan: What I'm saying is you don't do what they expect you to do.

Tim: I agree with you, so what do you suggest we do that they don't expect?

Alan: What they don't expect is for people to do it in such a way they can't imprison or kill them for protesting. That's the first thing. These are the masters of war here.

Tim: In other words, we're talking about no frontal assault. We have to be wise as serpents and harmless as doves.

Alan: Absolutely. These characters have played this game over and over, down through many centuries, and they know how the public will come on; head on like an unorganized mob, as they call them at the top, and that's what they want. They want to say, "Look, there are crazy guys running around called militias." They tried that right after the Oklahoma City deal. They want that to start so they can tell the passive public, "look, there are crazies living amongst you, we have to be under complete martial rule right now."

Tim: Edwin Vierra really laid it out yesterday that we do have the rules; we do have the tools available to us if we would just exercise them and have the courage to do that. Your second point that you made, you were talking about psychological exams for elected officials. I would say that all public servants, all bureaucrats, they all should go through a psychological exam.

Alan: Yes, because right now, Bush under the auspices of the United Nations - by the way, the United Nations is throwing all the laws out there, every country signs them into existence - and one of them is they want the whole world's population psychologically evaluated every year. Man, woman, and child from birth and on. Bush mentioned this briefly about six months ago, that he wanted every American citizen to be tested to see if 'maybe you harbor some terrorist qualities and you don't know it.'

Tim: They're not looking for terrorist qualities. They might be looking for a little individualism. I'll tell you what else they're looking for. They're looking for the authoritarian personality style.

Alan: Yes, leadership qualities are what they're looking for.

Tim: I'm not sure authoritarianism is leadership.

Alan: Instead of letting them to do what they want to do, let's change all of this and say, "all you guys who want authority over us must take this psychopathic test." You're going to find you have a very quiet Washington D.C. after it's done, because there will be very few people left there.

Tim: It is that authoritarian mindset that is amoral. They'll pretend to have morals. They'll go to church, they'll do all the right things, but behind the scenes, they have no morality and they are only interested in the acquisition of power and wealth. If we can understand this, maybe something along these lines, this test that would be at least a framework to have all public servants follow. What do you think Alan?

Alan: What you just described is the classic psychopath. The psychopath really only respects someone more powerful than themselves. When they get governments going and infiltrate, then the government becomes like a military organization where the superiors all the way down do crave power, but they really respect the person above them almost like a god because they wish they had more power themselves. They are truly psychopathic. What you have today, across the whole Western World and now the Far East as well, you're voting in the left-wing bunch of multi-millionaire lawyers or the right-wing bunch of multi-millionaire lawyers. I think lawyers should be banned from applying to get in there at all. We don't need lawyers for that. Normal people can discuss things for normal people. We don't need lawyers to confuse the issue.

Tim: I would agree. There are some that contend that the original 13th amendment to the American Constitution was referring to a re-affirmation of no titles of nobility, specifically dealing with lawyers and being esquire from the London Bar. I don't know if it's true or not. I've seen evidence on both sides; and at this point in time, it doesn't make any real difference. I do understand what you're saying about lawyers. In fact, even in the New Testament that was one of the major problems, that they were the doctors or the lawyers of the law and had convoluted and constructed the law, in such a way that it did nothing but hold down the common person, and they themselves would just flaunt it.

Alan: When you need a professional person to interpret something for you that's supposed to be simple, then you're being fooled. That's what lawyer's jobs are supposed to do. The law is supposed to be easily understood by every individual, regardless of IQ, within the normal population. They portrayed that very well in the movie "Devil's Advocate". Al Pacino, who was the devil in it, he says 'How do you think we're taking over this country and the world? We're churning out armies of lawyers every day, that's how we're taking it over.' It's very, very, very true. It's meant to confuse everything to make everyone else, the ordinary people, subservient to something they don't understand. You don't understand it because they are deliberately complex.

Tim: You're absolutely right. This is one of the arguments that many of us in the Tax Truth movement, if you want to give it a name, have argued the government's position on claiming that the tax is legal is so convoluted and is so cafeteria style, picking here and picking there, and then trying to say 'this is the law'. Ed Vierra yesterday was pointing out that you use a legal flowchart. There has to be 'if / then' clauses. There has to be cause and effect. You should be able to draw a line from the very beginning straight through to the end, without any breaks in the line that show that this thought process is normal. Basically, what I'm trying to say is I agree with you that the law has become so complicated that it is of no effect.

Alan: It's only there to serve an elite, who want to confuse whatever they're doing that passes through the lawyers and then is reinterpreted to the public. Law can reinterpret as much as they wish, whenever they wish. That's what they're there for.

Tim: Law is nothing more than just a group of people agreeing on rules, on how they're going to do something. We used to play the game of Monopoly and we would sit around and all agree on whether or not you landed on a certain space,

you got a hundred Monopoly bucks. We would make up extra rules and as long as everybody agreed to it, that wasn't any problem. Law, in effect, is the same thing. The problem is now that not everybody gets a chance to agree to it. Not everybody gets a chance to follow it and we end up with a question as to what law is. Even though it's specifically written, it's being enforced by the bullies with guns out in the populous and they're telling you what the law is. Now you have to spend your time, your property, your life assets to go and defend something that you know the rules say you can do and can't do. Yet, they're in this position of bullying power, physical prowess.

Alan: They've taken over through acquiescence of the public, over a period of time, until it's become the norm and a generation have grown up accepting this as normal.

Tim: Listen, we've got a call coming in from Katie in Texas. Hi Katie, are you with us?

Katie: Yes I'm with you. I wanted to ask Mr. Watt if has any books available?

Alan: Yes, I've got some up on my site, in fact. I've got the Cutting Through 1,2, and 3 series, where I even go into a lot of the coding that's used in society with the higher Masonic groups. Once you understand it, you can reinterpret the headlines from the newspapers as they pass they're little jokes to each other. You'd be quite astounded at the language they use.

Katie: You don't have anything available in Barnes and Noble or anything like that, do you?

Alan: No. I've bypassed all the regulars. You see, I've had people offer to publish my works. However, once you do that, it's authorized to be out there and the editor then edits out little bits that he thinks are inappropriate. I went and bypassed them and did it my own way so I don't have to be censored.

Katie: Thank you so much.

Tim: Alan, I can't emphasize how important it is for people to shake off the shackles in their minds that this is the way we're living in our society; this way with supposedly depleted energy and all these things is the only way that it can be. I believe there is a paradigm shift and I don't necessarily believe it has to be toward the negative. I think that if we can awaken the remnant - it's the remnants, those that have eyes to see and ears to hear, those who respond to that internal responsive chord of liberty and freedom will start to get up and talk and influence the people around them, will start to make it known that there is something that can be done before this happens. We may be able to change things around. I don't think that the future is set, even though these guys have been planning this for 60 years, which brings me to what we had talked about earlier, privately. That is that it came up out of think-tanks, these globalists, these nationalists. Why don't we, as freedom minded people, put together our think-tanks and figure out a way to not confront them with mob action, but come up with more subtle strategies and tactics to circumvent them and to basically stop them?

Alan: Here's the problem: The big think-tanks and political movements that have

tried in the past to alter our course are always infiltrated immediately at the very top. They come in, they take over very subtly to begin with, but eventually you have a talking head or a bunch of them at the top who are trained to do this. They say all the right things. They become the leaders. Before you know it, you're off in a different direction all together or you've joined the United Nations and they're all part of the problem. It's a con-game.

Tim: You bring up a very good point. This then goes to the next step that we as individuals, we as adults, we as liberty minded members of the remnant, need to stand up, shake off the shackles of the society. What I mean by that is watching TV, watching all the sports, bothering ourselves with all the things that we think we have to do and start learning about freedom and liberty, the rights of man, which is another good book to read by Tom Paine. If you have read the Rights of Man for some time, you have to go back and reread it. Reread the Declaration of Independence. Get into your mind these ideas. Then, we individually, have to start to think about ways of working the system and throwing road-blocks up. Whether it's going after the youth, which is one of the things that I like to do. I like to feed the youth with the liberty virus and give them material and ideas and help them and encourage them to resist the attempts on them. Go along, make it look like you're doing it, but in your mind stay true to liberty and freedom. You have kind-of turned the tables on them, Alan. What they were doing to Nicaragua, we've got the CIA brochure that the CIA printed up and flooded through Nicaragua to try to teach the Freedom Fighters how to resist the communist Nicaraguan regime. That pamphlet is now available. All kinds of wonderful things that people can consider doing. I don't encourage people to go out and break the law, but I consider you to start thinking about things in terms of what's actually right, what's wrong, what leads to liberty, and what leads to slavery. You yourself have to make the decision on what you're going to do; and many of us are family men and we have obligations. Alan, is there anything else that people can do to fight against this?

Alan: You don't win any battle until you've conquered yourself. To run off studying other peoples' definitions doesn't do anything except following something. You have to be your own champion. You must come to your own conclusions of what freedom is or liberty is, for yourself, by becoming it. This is a change that happens within the individual and that's the only way it's real. Otherwise, you're just following. If you follow, you're so easily led by the wrong people.

Tim: I agree, we don't need to be followers, but I certainly don't believe that learning from other examples of people who have led in liberty, I don't think that's necessarily following. It's gaining the idea. Aren't you talking about inculcating the beliefs and concepts of freedom and making them part of your own very nature?

Alan: You understand that freedom starts within the individual. That comes as a natural process of work going on, as they analyze themselves from beginning to end. You can't understand the world until you've done that first, until you know your own motivations. You have to analyze yourself and how true are you as a person? You've got to really analyze that. Understand your motivations for wanting certain things. What do you really want? What do you need? What is life about? Where do you stand in proportion with the great world you live in and

others around you? That means the whole world, everyone in different creeds and cultures. You have no choice. If you break the natural laws then you're blind —*The blind leading the blind*.

Tim: There's going to be some folks who look within themselves and say, 'you know, I'd rather be a follower than a leader'. We're interested in those who have a positive response to the liberty message. We're looking to encourage the remnant to resist and there are a lot of good ways of doing that. Alan, I want to thank you for being with us again.